

163992

Water Pollution Control - W.G.  
Krummrich Plant, Monsanto  
Co., 7-16-74

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

INSTRUCTIONS

CER 092184

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

July 16, 1974

*Bill*  
*- Classification of me, etc.*  
*Personnel file*  
*background*  
*FYI or detailed*  
*30 files*

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL - W.G. KRUMMRICH PLANT  
MONSANTO COMPANY

INTRODUCTION:

I think most of you are familiar with the functions of the Environmental Control Group, but for those of you who recently joined the Krummrich Plant, I'll spend a few minutes discussing the subject.

Organization wise the Environmental Control Group is part of the Personnel Department and is headed by Paul Heisler our Director of Safety and Environmental Control. We have three engineers in the group who are responsible for all of its functions.

Clarrie Buckley who reports to Paul Heisler is responsible for methods development and emission monitoring and he has Robert Harness reporting to him who handles Air Pollution and Solid Waste problems.

We have three full time technicians in our group who collect samples, monitor tests and investigate source emissions.

I report to Paul Heisler and my responsibilities include all aspects of Water Pollution Control within the plant, liaison with the Village of Sauget for all Environmental matters, and the operation of the existing Waste Treatment Plant for the Village of Sauget.

Today I will briefly discuss the existing methods used to treat the wastewater from the Krummrich Plant and then fully detail our plans for meeting existing and proposed Water Quality and effluent standards.

CER 092185

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-294-WDS**

SLIDES

- #1 Water Pollution Control At W.G.K.
- #2 Existing Situation
- #3 Federal EPA Regulations
- #4 Illinois EPA Regulations
- #5 Water Quality Criteria
  - a. Measured in receiving stream after mixing
  - b. Represent background levels in the Mississippi River
  - c. Restrictive standard - must be met - Zero discharge of contaminant if WQSTDS are not met
- #6 Effluent Criteria
  - a. Concentration Standard
  - b. Measured in the Effluent from the Treatment Plant
- #7 Illinois EPA Sewer Construction Permit
- #8 Sewer Permit Requirements 1 and 2
- #9 Sewer Permit Requirements 3 and 4
- #10 Village Sewer System
- #11 Village Sewer System Layout
- #12 Flow Diagram - Primary Treatment Plant
  - a. Definition Primary Treatment
  - b. % Removal 70% S.S. and 25% C.O.D.
- #13 Sauget Chemical Treatment Plant
  - a. First step toward requirement for secondary treatment
  - b. Secondary Treatment 90-95% Removal BOD<sub>5</sub> and S.S.
  - c. Definition of BOD<sub>5</sub>
- #14 CTP Capital Costs

CER 092186

#15 CTP O&M Costs

#16 Effluent Quality - Chemical Treatment Plant

- a. Organics which may or may not show up as BOD<sub>5</sub> will not meet future standards
- b. Federal EPA has developed a list of Hazardous and toxic materials which includes some well known organic chemicals (ONCB)

#17 Alternatives for Secondary Treatment of CTP Effluent

- a. Most cost effective solution is biological treatment
- b. Extensive tests have shown that Sauget Wastewaters lack essential nutrients and is toxic to biological treatment
- c. Bio Treatment will work if the effluent from the CTP is mixed and diluted with Municipal Domestic Wastewater
- d. SWIMPAC proposed Regional Treatment for the Metro East Area as the most cost effective solution for meeting the Federal and State Secondary Treatment Standards.
- e. RETA, a local Environmental consulting firm, confirmed our treatability studies showing that a Regional Bio Treatment Plant would work.
- f. A Grant application for the Regional Plant has been submitted to the EPA.

#18 Regional Waste Treatment

#19 Metro East Service Area

- a. Lansdowne Plant, East St. Louis, Sauget and Cahokia
- b. Total Population over 150,000

#20 Unit Operations

CER 092187

- #21 1995 Flow Projections
- #22 Design Parameters
- #23 Force Main Size and Length
- #24 Capital and Operating Costs
  - a. 75% Federal EPA Grant
  - b. Monsanto Total Cost 4.8 M 20 Years
  - c. \$240,614/yr. Debt Service + \$323,114 Operating
- #25 Regional Effluent Quality
- #26 W GK In Plant Reductions
- #27 Flow Reductions
  - a. 6 Projects complete
  - b. Well Water Recycle Complete
  - c. Flow tests are being conducted to confirm savings
- #28 Cl<sub>2</sub> Dump Project
- #29 200ppm Cl<sub>2</sub> Project
- #30 Organic Removals
  - a. Proposed Federal EPA Hazardous and Toxic Substances list includes organics
  - b. Chemical and Regional Treatment does not remove some organic chemicals
  - c. Projects will not be developed until standards are adopted.

M.R. Foresman  
July 16, 1974

CER 092188

EPA / CER80 COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL

W.G. KRUMMRICH PLANT

MONSANTO COMPANY

CER 092189

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

EXISTING SITUATION - WATER POLLUTION CONTROL

- 40 Operating Departments Discharging over 7000 GPM of Wastewater
- All Wastewater flows to a combined storm water and process water sewer system which eliminates any possibility of segregation of non-contact cooling water
- Existing State and Federal laws require treatment of all wastewater prior to discharge to a receiving stream

CER 092190

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

FEDERAL  
EPA REGULATIONS

- I. Federal EPA has approved Illinois EPA Standards
  
- II. Publicly owned treatment works
  - A. July 1, 1977 - Effluent limitations - Secondary Treatment
  
  - B. July 1, 1983 - Best Practicable Technology
  
- III. Industrial Standards
  - A. Effluent Criteria
  
  - B. Pre-Treatment Standards

CER 092191  
EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 82-CV-284-WDS**

ILLINOIS EPA  
REGULATIONS

---

- I. WATER QUALITY STANDARDS
- II. EFFLUENT STANDARDS
- III. SECONDARY TREATMENT
- IV. PERMITS

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092192

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

# WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

## MISSISSIPPI RIVER

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Conc. mg/l</u>
ARSENIC	0.01
BARIUM	1.0
CADMIUM	0.01
CHLORIDE	250
CHROMIUM-HEX	0.05
COPPER	0.02
CYANIDE	0.01
FLUORIDE	1.4
IRON (D)	0.3
LEAD	0.05
MANGANESE	0.05
MERCURY (T)	0.0005
PHENOLS	0.001
SELENIUM	0.01
SILVER	0.005
TOTAL SOLIDS (D)	500
ZINC	1.0
BOD <sub>5</sub>	7.0
CARBON CHLOROFORM EXT	0.2
OIL (HEXANE SOLUBLE)	0.1
SULFATE	250
NITRATES & NITRITES AS N	10.0
METHYL BLUE ACTIVE SUB	0.5
AMMONIA NITROGEN	1.5

CER 092193

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

# EFFLUENT CRITERIA

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Conc. mg/l</u>
ARSENIC (TOTAL)	0.25
BARIUM (TOTAL)	2.0
CADMIUM (TOTAL)	0.15
CHROMIUM (HEX)	0.3
CHROMIUM (TRI)	1.0
COPPER (TOTAL)	1.0
CYANIDE	0.025
FLUORIDE (TOTAL)	2.5
IRON (TOTAL)	2.0
IRON (DISSOLVED)	0.5
LEAD (TOTAL)	0.1
MANGANESE (TOTAL)	1.0
MERCURY (TOTAL)	0.0005
NICKEL (TOTAL)	1.0
OIL (HEXANE SOLUBLES)	15.0
pH	5 - 10
PHENOLS	0.3
SELENIUM (TOTAL)	1.0
SILVER	0.1
ZINC (TOTAL)	1.0
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS	25.0
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	750 above background
BOD <sub>5</sub>	20
COLOR - Below Obvious Level	

CER 092194

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

ILLINOIS EPA SEWER  
CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

---

- I. REGULATIONS NOT DEFINITE
- II. REQUIRE 90 DAY LEAD TIME FOR APPROVAL
- III. REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED FOR THE  
W.G.K. PLANT

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092195

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-294-WDS**

1. CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SEWERS OR MODIFICATIONS OF EXISTING SEWERS WHICH ARE 18 INCHES IN DIAMETER OR LARGER.
  
2. CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SEWERS WHICH REQUIRE A TIE-IN TO A NEW OR EXISTING SEWER 18 INCHES IN DIAMETER OR LARGER, TIE-IN BEING A DIRECT CONNECTION WITH OR WITHOUT A MANHOLE.

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092196

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

3. MODIFICATIONS OF AN EXISTING SEWER WHERE THE MODIFICATION INCLUDES THE MODIFICATION OF THE TIE-IN TO AN EXISTING SEWER 18 INCHES IN DIAMETER OR LARGER.
  
4. DRAWING #TS-D-10580 SHOULD BE USED AS A GUIDE FOR DETERMINING CONSTRUCTION PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092197

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

VILLAGE OF SAUGET  
SEWER SYSTEM


---

- COMBINED SANITARY AND STORM WATER
- FIVE MAJOR INDUSTRIES PLUS SAUGET VILLAGE
- AVERAGE FLOW - 18 MGD
- MAXIMUM FLOW - 38 MGD

ERD/CERRO COPPER/EIL/POB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092198

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**




CER 092199

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

# SAUGET PRIMARY TREATMENT PLANT



EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092200

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

SAUGET CHEMICAL TREATMENT PLANT

I. UNIT OPERATIONS

- A. SCREENING
- B. PUMPING STORM WATER TREATMENT
- C. GRIT REMOVAL
- D. NEUTRALIZATION
- E. POLYMER ADDITION
- F. FLOCCULATION
- G. CLARIFICATION
- H. SLUDGE REMOVAL - VACUUM FILTRATION
- I. SCUM REMOVAL

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092201

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

# CAPITAL COST DISTRIBUTION

## VILLAGE OF SAUGET CHEMICAL TREATMENT PLANT

<u>CONTRIBUTOR</u>	<u>% DISTRIBUTION</u>	<u>CAPITAL COST (M)</u>
AMAX	3.1178	0.25
CERRO	11.0088	0.88
COOPER	6.8852	0.55
MIDWEST	2.3594	0.19
MONSANTO	66.6288	5.33
VILLAGE	10.0000	<u>0.80</u>
		8.0 M

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092202

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-294-WDS

OPERATING COST DISTRIBUTION  
VILLAGE OF SAUGET CHEMICAL TREATMENT PLANT

---

<u>CONTRIBUTOR</u>	<u>O &amp; M (\$)</u>
AMAX	11,109
CERRO	34,747
COOPER	20,004
MIDWEST	10,733
MONSANTO	483,914
VILLAGE	8,721

CER 092203  
EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCU  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

# EFFLUENT QUALITY

## SAUGET CHEMICAL TREATMENT PLANT

- Effluent will meet majority of Effluent Standards
- Effluent will not meet Standards for:
  - BOD<sub>5</sub>
  - Suspended Solids
  - Total Dissolved Solids
  - Phenol
  - Mercury (Special Case)

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092204

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

**ALTERNATES FOR SECONDARY TREATMENT  
OF CHEMICALLY TREATED EFFLUENT**

- I. **BIOLOGICAL OXIDATION**
- II. **CARBON ADSORPTION**
- III. **CHEMICAL OXIDATION**
- IV. **COMBINATION OF THE ABOVE**

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092205

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

**REGIONAL  
WASTE TREATMENT  
W. G. KRUMMRICH  
PLANT  
MONSANTO COMPANY**

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/903  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092206


**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

# METRO-EAST REGIONAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY STUDY

CER 092207

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS


- Legend
- EXISTING TREATMENT PLANT
  - PROPOSED TREATMENT PLANT
  - PROPOSED FORCED MAIN SEWER
  - LEVEE
  - ▭ AREA SERVED BY TREATMENT PLANT
  - STATE LINE
  - MAJOR RAILROAD LAND



EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS


CER 092208



EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Ryckman - Edgerley - Tomlinson & Associates

PLATE 2



## UNIT OPERATIONS

- AERATION BASINS
- CLARIFICATION
- CHLORINATION
- MICRO-STRAINERS
- SLUDGE HANDLING

CER 092209  
EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

# REGIONAL WASTE TREATMENT

## PROJECTED 1955 FLOWS

<u>PRIMARY TREATMENT PLANTS</u>	<u>AVE. DAILY FLOW (MGD)</u>	<u>MAX. FLOW (MGD)</u>
LANSDOWNE	6.2	10.0
East St. Louis	18.0	22.0
Sauget	7.1	11.5
Cahokia	<u>4.8</u>	<u>7.5</u>
	36.1	51.0

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092210

CONFIDENTIAL 82-CV-204-WDS

## DESIGN PARAMETERS

Design Flow	37 MGD
Peak Flow	51 MGD
Design BOD Concentration	220 Mg/l
Design SS Concentration	200 Mg/l

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092211

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

## FORCE MAIN SIZE SIZE AND LENGTH

From Lansdowne	21,000' @ 30"
From East St. Louis	7,000' @ 36"
From Sauget	700' @ 20"
From Cahokia	14,000' @ 20"

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092212

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

# REGIONAL SECONDARY TREATMENT

## WITH STORM WATER TREATMENT AND MODIFICATIONS AT PRIMARY PLANTS

	<u>CAPITAL COSTS</u>	<u>ANNUAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS *</u>
<b>Storm Water Treatment and Modifications at Primary Plants</b>		
Lansdowne	\$ 834,000	\$ 122,850
E. St. Louis	3,323,250	358,450
Sauget	799,000**	64,800**
Cahokia	703,300	80,500
Subtotal	\$ 5,659,550	\$ 626,600
Secondary Treatment	<u>26,598,100</u>	<u>2,137,000</u>
Total	\$32,257,650	\$ 2,763,600

### Individual Primary and Secondary Treatment Including Storm Water Treatment

Lansdowne	\$ 8,704,600	\$ 784,250
E. St. Louis	14,304,900	1,359,100
Sauget	9,775,000	992,000
Cahokia	6,293,300	581,900
Total	\$39,077,800	\$ 3,717,250

\*Includes debt service on 25 percent of capital cost  
(6 percent over 20 years).

\*\*Includes storm water treatment only.

CER 092213

IN / CERRO COPPER / [ ]  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-284-WDS

MERTA REGIONAL  
TREATMENT PLANT  
EFFLUENT QUALITY

---

EFFLUENT WILL MEET ALL KNOWN STANDARDS THROUGH  
1983 WITH THE POSSIBLE EXCEPTION OF:

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS  
COLOR (SUBJECT TO INTERPRETATION)  
SELECTED ORGANICS (ONCB, PNCB, PCB)

CER 092214  
EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-294-WDS**

W.G. KRUMMRICH PLANT

IN PLANT REDUCTIONS

CHEMICAL AND REGIONAL

WASTE TREATMENT

CER 092215

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

**PROBLEM MINIMIZE CAPITAL COST OF WASTE TREATMENT**

**SOLUTION: REDUCE WASTEWATER FLOW TARGET SET  
AT 3500 GPM (7000 GPM EXISTING)**

**METHOD: 25 FLOW REDUCTION PROJECTS SCHEDULED  
FOR COMPLETION ON OR BEFORE JANUARY  
1, 1975**

CER 092216  
EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

PROBLEM MINIMIZE EFFECTS OF Cl<sub>2</sub> DUMPS AND TREATMENT  
PLANT

SOLUTION: RETAIN AND TREAT Cl<sub>2</sub> DUMPS WITHIN  
AC1 DEPARTMENT.

METHOD: PROJECT TO RETAIN AND NEUTRALIZE Cl<sub>2</sub>  
GENERATED BY PROCESS UPSETS HAS  
SCOPED COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION BY  
JANUARY 1, 1975.

CER 092217

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

**PROBLEM** REDUCE  $Cl_2$  TO BELOW TOXIC LEVEL FOR DISCHARGE  
INTO REGIONAL BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT PLANT

**SOLUTION:** RECYCLE AND TREAT ALL WASTEWATER FROM  
AC1 TO BELOW 200 ppm  $Cl_2$

**METHOD:** PROJECT SCOPE COMPLETE. COMPLETION  
REQUIRED PRIOR TO REGIONAL STARTUP  
ON JULY 1, 1977.

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

CER 092218

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

**PROBLEM ORGANICS NOT REMOVED - REGIONAL TREATMENT  
PLANT. (ONCB, PNA, ONA)**

**SOLUTION: DEVELOP METHODS FOR SELECTIVE REMOVAL  
OF PROBLEM ORGANICS**

**METHOD: REMOVAL PROCESS DEFINED:  
CARBON ADSORPTION  
OZONE TREATMENT**

**EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE**

**CER 092219**

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS - W.G. KRUMMRICH

SITUATION:

Existing standard set at 750 ppm above background (400 ppm) up to 3500 ppm maximum if caused by pollution control processes or equipment.

- Monsanto effluent now at 2200 ppm TDS
- Adiabatic Absorber Project would not bring Monsanto effluent down to 1150 ppm.
- Questionable as to compliance with 3500 ppm in Chemical Treatment Plant effluent
- Limited in any effect on receiving stream
- If compliance with standard is required we need to get credit for +1.0 M spent on Adiabatic Absorber Project
- TSD Reductions should be made where cost effective.

CER 092220

EPA / CERRO COPPER / EIL / PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS**

PROJECT CONSIDERATIONS - W.G. KRUMMRICH PLANT

All TSD/CED Projects should consider:

- A. Flow Reductions - Based on Capital and Operating Cost savings
- B. Organic Reductions- Future savings when Regional Treatment is Operational
- C. Total Dissolved Solids - Future compliance with 3500 ppm standard may be required
- D. Construction permits for the sewer projects

CER 092221  
EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT  
ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**CONFIDENTIAL 82-CV-284-WDS**